

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/629,775	07/30/2003		Soon Jo Lee	9988.038.00-US	7792
30827	7590	03/21/2006		EXAMINER	
		& ALDRIDGE	GRAVINI, STEPHEN MICHAEL		
1900 K STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20006				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
***************************************	,	•		3749	

DATE MAILED: 03/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		lacksquare				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/629,775	LEE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Stephen Gravini	3749				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with th	ne correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state the provision of the provisions of the provision of the provisi	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply but will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tute, cause the application to become ABAND	PION. The timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. The property of the communication of the com				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13	February 2006.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) □ Claim(s) 2-20 and 22-26 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 2-20 and 22-26 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptance and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and application of the second acceptance and application is objected to by the second acceptance and application is objected to by the second acceptance and application is objected to by the second acceptance and application is objected to by the second acceptance and acceptance are second acceptance and acceptance are second acceptance.	ccepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ection is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applic iority documents have been rece au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	∆ □	OT (PTO 442)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		al Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 2 and are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by. The claim recitation "fire plate" is broadly construed to reasonably convey, based on the specification, a barrier to prevent fire passage. This interpretation is considered consistent with the specification since paragraphs [0007] and [0041] merely discuss a plate for preventing fire. Holub is considered to disclose the claimed invention comprising:

a top cover 24 mounted on a side plate 2 of a cabinet;

a fire plate **19** between the side plate and the top cover, for preventing fire from spreading outside the laundry dryer (wherein the disclosed pair of doors is considered to expressly anticipate the claimed fire plate for preventing fire from spreading outside the laundry dryer because the doors act as fire plates thereby preventing fire outside the laundry dryer of Holub which discusses fire on the text pages 1, 4, and 5); and

a cabinet holder 4 fastening the fire plate to the side plate. Holub is also considered to disclose the claimed cabinet holder and fire plate including sides mounted in contact with an inside surface of the top cover, said sides serving as a supporting wall which prevents the side surface of the top cover from inward deformation by an external force as shown in figures 1, 3, & 6, the fire plate includes grooves in a center part for improving a rigidity of the fire plate wherein the disclosed channel part is considered to

Art Unit: 3749

expressly anticipate the claimed grooves because both improve rigidity, the cabinet holder includes a plurality of fastening pieces on an underside of the cabinet holder for fastening the fire plate to the side plate wherein the hinges shown in figure 1 are considered to fasten the fire plate door 19 to cabinet holder corner posts 4 on an underside because figure 10 shows jamb strips 17 on an underside of the cabinet, the fire plate includes a first flange at each of opposite edges having a plurality of first fastening holes receiving the fastening pieces and the side plate includes a second flange in top part having a plurality of second fastening holes in communication with the first fastening holes as shown in figure 1 since there is a first and second set of hinge flanges on each fire plate door, the cabinet holder further includes a plurality of through holes in communication with the first, and second holes and at least one of said fastening pieces is on an underside of an edge of one side of the through hole as shown in figure 10 wherein fastener piece hinges are connected through holes, the second flange further includes a plurality of positioning projections 17 projected upward for alignment of a fastening position of the side plate with the fire plate and the first flange further includes first inserting holes receiving the positioning projections therein, the positioning projections are formed by lancing as shown in figure 10 wherein jamb 17 is considered to anticipate the claimed lancing because the disclosed jamb lances a structure for positioning projections, and the cabinet holder and the fire plate include sides 15 & 1 mounted in contact with an inside surface of the top cover, said sides serving as a supporting wall which prevents the side surface of the top cover from inward deformation by an external force.

Application/Control Number: 10/629,775 Page 4

Art Unit: 3749

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 5-18 and 22-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vonderhaar (US 3,402,281) in view of Holub (US 1,550,700). Vonderhaar is considered to disclose the claimed invention comprising:

- a side plate 11 and a front plate 11 of a cabinet;
- a door 20 in a front of the front plate;
- a top cover 12 on top of the side plate and the front plate;
- a control panel 15 on the top cover;
- a fire plate **134** between the side plate and the top cover and between the front plate and the top cover, the fire plate having a first flange with a plurality of first fastening holes; and
- a cabinet holder **136** joining the fire plate to the side plate, the cabinet holder including a plurality of fastening pieces on an underside of the cabinet holder wherein the plurality of first fastening holes receive the plurality of fastening pieces as discussed in column 6 line 58; or alternatively:
 - a top cover 12 mounted on a side plate of a cabinet;
 - a fire plate 77 disposed between the side plate and the top cover; and
- a cabinet holder 82 being configured to fasten the fire plate to the side plate wherein the cabinet holder includes a plurality of fastening pieces configured for insertion into the fire plate and the side plate. Vonderhaar is also considered to disclose the claimed a first flange at an edge of the fire plate and the side plate includes a second flange at a top part having a plurality of second fastening holes in

communication with the first fastening holes, wherein the cabinet holder further includes a plurality of through holes in communication with the first, and second fastening holes and the fastening pieces is on an underside of an edge of one side of the through hole, wherein the second flange further includes a plurality of positioning projections projected upward for aligning the side plate during fastening of the side plate with the fire plate and the first flange further includes first inserting holes receiving the positioning projections therein and wherein the cabinet holder further includes a plurality of second inserting holes receiving the positioning projections projected through the first inserting holes as shown in figure 7. Vonderhaar is considered to disclose the claimed invention, as discussed above, except for the claimed laundry dryer application and plastic top cover. Holub, another appliance, is considered to disclose a laundry dryer application in the field of fire prevention at column 2 line 15 through column 5 line 27. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to combine the teachings of Vonderhaar with the laundry dryer application for the purpose of fire prevention in the filed of laundry drying and for the purpose of containing fire within the domestic appliance. Furthermore, It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to provide a plastic cover, since applicant has not shown the structural differences including advantages of a plastic cover what is disclosed in the prior art.

Page 5

Claims 2-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vonderhaar in view of Holub in view of Yandell (US 1,993,201). Vonderhaar in view of Holub is considered to disclose the claimed invention, as discussed above, except for the claimed plastic top cover. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to Art Unit: 3749

provide a plastic cover, since applicant has not shown the structural differences including advantages of a plastic cover what is disclosed in the prior art. Furthermore, Vonderhaar in view of Holub is considered to disclose the claimed invention except for the claimed curved top surface and matching fire plate curvature. Yandell, another laundry dryer, is considered to disclose a curved top surface and matching fire plate curvature on the face of that reference. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to combine the teachings of Vonderhaar in view of Holub with the curved top surface and matching fire plate curvature, considered disclosed in Yandell, for the purpose of containing pressure from forces such as water or fire.

Claim 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vonderhaar in view of Holub in further view of Yandell. Vonderhaar in view of Holub is considered to disclose the claimed invention except for the claimed curved top surface and matching fire plate curvature. Yandell, another laundry dryer, is considered to disclose a curved top surface and matching fire plate curvature on the face of that reference. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to combine the teachings of Vonderhaar in view of Holub with the curved top surface and matching fire plate curvature, considered disclosed in Yandell, for the purpose of containing pressure from forces such as water or fire.

Double Patenting

Claims 2-20 and 22-26 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-6 of copending Application No. 10/663,997. Although the conflicting claims are not identical,

Art Unit: 3749

they are not patentably distinct from each other because the currently claimed fire plate is considered and obvious variation of the copending claimed fire wall.

This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 and 22-26 have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Gravini whose telephone number is 571 272 4875. The examiner can normally be reached on normal weekday business hours (east coast time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ehud Gartenberg can be reached on 571 272 4828. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). Steplen Gravni

SMG March 17, 2006